

## Homes for All Policy Agenda Proposal Application

Name of organization: Family Housing Fund / Greater MN Housing Fund/MN NAHRO

Brief description of your proposal: Proposing an appropriation of \$30 million in Public Housing Rehabilitation Bonds (GO) and \$100 million in Housing Infrastructure Bonds (HIB)and including senior housing as an eligible use of HIB

Is this a multi-year proposal, or targeted for only one year? One-Year

What level of support are you requesting from Homes for All: Legislative Agenda or Homes for Endorsement? Legislative Agenda

If you are requesting that Homes for All put this item on our Legislative Agenda, will your organization move this proposal forward even without the leadership of Homes for All? Will your organization commit to working on the policy agenda of Homes for All even if your proposal does not make it on the agenda this year? Likely

What kind of resources are you able to bring to support advancement of your proposal?

(Example: dedicated lobbyist; community of engaged supporters; effective social media or communications plan; etc.) Dedicated lobbyist and other organization support

## Homes for All Policy Proposal Assessment: Core Values Alignment Criteria

<b>Name of Organization:</b> <u>Family Housing Fund / Greater MN Housing Fund</u>					
<b>Proposal:</b> <u>Housing Bonds</u>					
Does this proposal support the continuum of housing and services?	Does this proposal strengthen the connection between housing and services?	Does this proposal reduce barriers/improve access to stable housing?	Does this proposal promote choice in housing?		
10 – Will directly and substantially contribute to the housing/services continuum by adding new or expanding/improving existing	10 – Will significantly strengthen existing connection in clearly articulated ways	10 – Will remove an endemic barrier or create a new point of entry for unmet needs or unserved population	10 – Will significantly expand the range of options for those who currently have very few available options		
5 – Will somewhat support the housing/services continuum but not substantially	5 – Will create new connection where none is currently	5 – Will help alleviate but not remove barrier, or provide some but not full access to stable housing	5 – Will provide some limited options that do not currently exist		
2 – Will have a nearly insignificant impact on the housing/services continuum	2 – Will parallel existing connection but not create new or expand existing connection	2 – May contribute minimal impact on barrier or provide slight improvement in access	2 – May provide insignificant increase in options, or rest on the assumption of free choice in market		
0 – Will have no impact on the continuum	0 – Does not appear it will strengthen the connection and/or will perpetuate existing separation	0 – Does not appear it will either remove barrier or increase access to stable housing	0 – Does not appear it will promote choice in housing		
Comments: 10	Comments: 10	Comments: 10	Comments: 5		
				<b>Add Row Together for Total Score Here:</b> <span style="font-size: 1.2em;"><b><u>35</u></b></span>	