

Homes For All 2018 Policy Agenda Proposal Application

Thank you for applying to have your organization's policy proposal considered by Homes For All for possible inclusion on its 2018 policy agenda. This application form, plus the Core Values Alignment Table, must be completed in full for every proposal to be considered. Completed application forms must be emailed to Homes for All Policy Committee Co-Chairs ([Libby](#) & [Fatima](#)) by **October 17, 2017**. *Late or incomplete applications may not be considered.*

Name of organization: Catholic Charities of St. Paul & Minneapolis

Brief description of your proposal: Increase base rate of Group Residential Housing (GRH) monthly payments for supportive housing. Payments, made directly to the housing provider, are used for rent, utilities, food, household supplies and other items needed to provide room and board to recipients. Eligible recipients are 65 years of age or older and individuals under 65 who have a combination of factors that limit their self sufficiency. Without GRH for supportive housing, program recipients would likely be homeless. Current monthly base rates of \$893 are insufficient to cover provider costs.

Is this a multi-year proposal, or targeted for only one year? Multi-year.

What level of support are you requesting from Homes for All: Legislative Agenda or Homes for Endorsement? Full, placement on agenda.

If you are requesting that Homes for All put this item on our Legislative Agenda, will your organization move this proposal forward even without the leadership of Homes for All? Will your organization commit to working on the policy agenda of Homes for All even if your proposal does not make it on the agenda this year? Yes. Yes.

What kind of resources are you able to bring to support advancement of your proposal? (Example: dedicated lobbyist; community of engaged supporters; effective social media or communications plan; etc.) Dedicated lobbyist, communications planning and on-going support, and prominently feature H4A in our policy education and advocacy documents with 3,000 grassroots advocates throughout the metro region.

All proposals will be assessed to make sure they are consistent with the core values of Homes for All. Please review and complete the attached table and include it with your completed application form. Scoring on this table is one of several criteria used by Homes for All in determining our Legislative Agenda.

Homes for All Policy Proposal Assessment: Core Values Alignment Criteria

Name of Organization: Catholic Charities of St. Paul & Minneapolis					
Proposal: Increase in GRH base rate for supportive housing					
Does this proposal support the continuum of housing and services?	Does this proposal strengthen the connection between housing and services?	Does this proposal reduce barriers/improve access to stable housing?	Does this proposal promote choice in housing?		
0 – Will directly and substantially contribute to the housing/services continuum by adding new or expanding/improving existing	10 – Will significantly strengthen existing connection in clearly articulated ways	10 – Will remove an endemic barrier or create a new point of entry for unmet needs or unserved population	10 – Will significantly expand the range of options for those who currently have very few available options		
5 – Will somewhat support the housing/services continuum but not substantially	5 – Will create new connection where none is currently	5 – Will help alleviate but not remove barrier, or provide some but not full access to stable housing	5 – Will provide some limited options that do not currently exist		
2 – Will have a nearly insignificant impact on the housing/services continuum	2 – Will parallel existing connection but not create new or expand existing connection	2 – May contribute minimal impact on barrier or provide slight improvement in access	2 – May provide insignificant increase in options, or rest on the assumption of free choice in market		
0 – Will have no impact on the continuum	0 – Does not appear it will strengthen the connection and/or will perpetuate existing separation	0 – Does not appear it will either remove barrier or increase access to stable housing	0 – Does not appear it will promote choice in housing		
Comments: 10	Comments: 10	Comments: 10	Comments: 10		
				Add Row Together for Total Score Here: _____40_____	